Case 2:15-mj-01607-JFM Document 4 Filed 08/18/15 Page 1 of 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

	Gildar	do Iva	n Villar-Armenta	Case Number:	15-01607MJ-001				
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been submitted. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.)									
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.								
×		by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.							
	PART I FINDINGS OF FACT								
	(1) 18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local of would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that				35.50				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.		S.C. § 3156(a)(4).						
			an offense for which the maximum sen	tence is life imprisonment	or death.				
			an offense for which a maximum term of	of imprisonment of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in				
			a felony that was committed after the dooffenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142	efendant had been convict (f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparab	ed of two or more prior federal le state or local offenses.				
			any felony that involves a minor victim of device (as those terms are defined in set to register under 18 U.S.C. §2250.	or that involves the posses ection 921), or any other d	sion or use of a firearm or destructive angerous weapon, or involves a failure				
	(2)	18 U.S release	U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on ease pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.						
	(3)	18 U.S convict	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.						
	(4)	will rea	ndings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions I reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has t rebutted this presumption.						
Alternative Findings									
	(1)	18 U.S.	.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause	to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense				
			for which a maximum term of imprisonn	nent of ten years or more i	s prescribed in1				
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 23	32b.					
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for which a prescribed.	maximum term of impriso	onment of 20 years or more is				
			an offense involving a minor victim under	er section	.8				
	(2)	The det	fendant has not rebutted the presumption ons will reasonably assure the appearance	n established by finding 1 t	hat no condition or combination of				

⁷Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{8}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\S 1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

Case 2:15-mj-01607-JFM Document 4 Filed 08/18/15 Page 2 of 3

assure the appearance of the defendant as required. (2) No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community. (3) There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror). (4)			Alternative Findings
(3) There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror). (4)	À	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
(4)		(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information ⁹ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convive evidence as to danger that: I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no resources in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
(Check one or both, as applicable,) I find that the credible testimony and information ⁹ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convine vidence as to danger that: I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant at an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and he substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		(4)	
evidence as to danger that:			
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ⁹ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of			
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of			
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of			
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of			
The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and his substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	D	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		\bowtie	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		\ <u>\</u>	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		ď	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		図	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
 The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 		7	
 The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 		X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.
The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:			The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
		The de	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:

⁹The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:15-mj-01607-JFM Document 4 Filed 08/18/15 Page 3 of 3

In a	In addition:						
	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.						
	PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION						

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: August 17, 2015

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge